Transportation Planners and Traffic Engineers

## MEMORANDUM

To: Katherine Weir, AICP, Assistant Planner, City of Sedro Woolley
From: Zach Wieben, PE ZJW
Subject: McGarigle Development Appeal Response
Date: February 14, 2020
Project: GTC \#19-229

This memo responds to the written appeal of the proposed 85 -unit McGarigle Development by signed by 11 citizens. GTC has reviewed the letter submitted by the appellants and it does not change GTC's prior conclusions or required City analysis. However, GTC has provided additional analysis to provide additional context to the TIA that was prepared in September 2019. The general concerns of the appeal letter can be summarized below:

1. Use of 4-6 PM as the peak-period analysis. Specifically, the letter identifies school pick-up/drop-off times as when McGarigle Road is most congested.
2. Development's impact to McGarigle Road at Carter Road
3. Development's impact to Carter Road at SR-20
4. "McGarigle Road to SR-9" / "McGarigle from SR-9 to Site Access"
5. Air pollution generated by idling cars
6. Pedestrian/bicycle safety
7. Access to McGarigle Road
8. Conditions of Carter Road

It should be noted that the TIA identified two different scenarios for the McGarigle development. One scenario assumed the units would be age restricted while the other assumed there would be no age restriction on the units. The level of service analysis completed for the TIA assumed the higher trip generation (no age restriction) for the development. The applicant has confirmed the units will be age-restricted and will therefore have a lower impact on the surrounding road network than what was identified in the original TIA. Additionally, the mix of attached and detached units has changed slightly from what was identified in the TIA. Table 1 summarizes the current trip generation estimate.

Table 1: Trip Generation Summary - Age-Restricted Scenario

| Land Use | \# Units | ADT | AM Peak-Hour |  |  | PM Peak-Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| LUC 251, | Senior Housing, Detached | 52 | 222 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 6 |
| LUC 252, <br> Senior Housing, Attached |  | 122 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |

Therefore, the final development proposal generates 386 average daily trips, 34 AM peak-hour trips, and 46 PM peak-hour trips less than what was analyzed in the prior GTC study.

Below are the concerns stated from the appeal letter:

> 1. Use of 4-6 PM as the peak-period analysis. Specifically, the letter identifies school pickup/drop-off times as when McGarigle Road is most congested.

Use of the 4-6 PM peak period for intersection analysis is a consistent methodology for Sedro Woolley and most other jurisdictions. Sedro Woolley bases their concurrency determination for proposed developments on the 4-6 PM peak period to ensure that adequate infrastructure is in place to support development during the time period the total traffic is typically the highest each day which is the weekday PM peak hour. This is shown in a report written by the City's transportation reviewing consultant TSI titled "Citywide Transportation Concurrency Review" and is included in the attachments.

However, to address the neighbors' concerns, GTC conducted an additional count at the intersection of SR-9 at McGarigle/John Liner Road from 1:45 PM to 4:00 PM to capture the volume of the intersection during the school dismissal peak. The total intersection volume of the highest hour in that period (2:45 PM to $3: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ ) was 794 vehicles which is similar to the 804 total intersection volume used in the TIA for the 4:00-5:00 PM peak hour. This confirms that analysis of the 4-6 PM peakperiod was appropriate for the TIA.

The analysis completed for the TIA already forecasted the intersection as operating at LOS F in the 2025 Baseline (without the McGarigle development) scenario. Even before McGarigle development trips are added to the roadway network, the intersection is expected to need improvements. This is corroborated in the TSI report as well. Therefore, additional analysis of the school peak-hours was not needed to determine whether improvements to the intersection are needed as a result of added development trips. The City has identified construction of a roundabout or a signal at the intersection as the preferred improvements. Either improvement is expected to allow the intersection to operate at an acceptable level of service of LOS D or better. The TSI report identifies the improvement as being constructed in 2023. The McGarigle development will be paying traffic mitigation fees which will contribute to the cost of the improvement. The improvement project is listed in the City's transportation impact fee project list and six-year transportation improvement plan as \#S17.

## 2. Development's impact to McGarigle Road at Carter Road

The intersection of McGarigle Road at Carter Road was analyzed in the TSI report for its operation in the 4-6 PM peak period in the year 2025. The intersection was identified as operating at LOS A in the 2025 baseline scenario and is not expected to reach a deficient level of service with the agerestricted trip generation.

## 3. Development's impact to Carter Road at SR-20

An AM peak-hour turning movement count was obtained at the intersection to document the average delay for southbound vehicles turning on to SR-20. The count showed that delay experienced by drivers traveling southbound on Carter Road to turn onto SR-20 is influenced by school traffic.

Southbound volume at the intersection ranged from 1 vehicle to 57 vehicles in the 15 -minute increments. This shows depending on when drivers travel down the roadway, their delay could be very different. Per standard HCM intersection analysis methodology this intersection is expected to operate at LOS C even if all units are detached and $100 \%$ of the development trips travel on Carter Road. However, drivers travelling southbound at this intersection may experience LOS D conditions (average of 29 seconds of delay) if they travel southbound during peak 15 minutes in the AM peakhour. Note LOS D is acceptable for intersections along SR-20. Level of service print outs are included in the attachments.

## 4. "McGarigle Road to SR-9" / "McGarigle from SR-9 to Site Access"

It's assumed these descriptions are discussing the same road section. While congestion was documented in the appeal letter, the cause of the congestion is not a public road or intersection but rather the efficiency of the schools' pick-up/drop-off loops. This congestion would occur whether or not the development is constructed. McGarigle Road is classified as a Major Collector with a capacity of 600 vehicles per hour per lane. McGarigle Road is expected to only reach approximately $30-33 \%$ of its capacity in the 2025 Future with Development conditions during the school PM peak-hour which includes 18 peak-hour trips generated by the McGarigle development.

## 5. Air pollution generated by idling cars

The scope of the TIA is not intended to cover impacts from air pollution and only focuses on the operation and safety of the public street network. GTC does not have the expertise to comment on the impacts of air pollution.

## 6. Pedestrian/bicycle safety

Collision data from the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) was reviewed along McGarigle Road from SR-9 to Fruitdale Road from 2014 through June 2019. There was one reported collision along the corridor in that time frame. The collision happed on the west end of the street in snowy/slushy conditions and was a rear-end collision. No pedestrians or cyclists were involved, and no injuries or fatalities were reported. McGarigle Road has continuous pedestrian/bicycle facilities on both sides of the street from SR-9 to Fruitdale Road. Additionally, no collisions were reported on Carter Road in the 5.5 years of collision data reviewed. The McGarigle Development will therefore not be contributing to a known high-collision area in its immediate vicinity. The development will be paying traffic mitigation fees which will help fund pedestrian and bicycle improvements in the City. A figure showing the reported collisions in the site vicinity is included in the attachments (E-2).

## 7. Access to McGarigle Road

The development site does not have frontage along SR-20. Therefore, its only feasible access is to McGarigle Road. If access to SR 20 was proposed via an easement, WSDOT would likely decline the access request because the development has frontage along a lower classification roadway (McGarigle Road). It is typically safer and less impactful to access a lower volume street.

## 8. Conditions of Carter Road

The development does not have direct access to Carter Road and is therefore not required to construct improvements to the roadway. Operational and safety analyses do not show any documented issues with Carter Road.

## Trip Generation Calculations

McGarigle Development
GTC \#19-229
(a.k.a.): Average Weekday Daily Trips (AWDT)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NET EX | RNAL T | IPS B | YPE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BOTH | IRECTIO |  | DIREC | ONAL | ASSIGN | MENTS |
|  |  |  |  | Gro | Trip |  |  | rnal sover | TOTAL |  | S-BY | NEW | PA | BY | N |  |
| LAND USES | VARIABLE | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ITE } \\ \text { LU } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Trip <br> Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { IN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { OUT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In+Out } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | \% of Gross Trips | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trips } \\ \text { In+Out } \\ \text { (Total) } \end{gathered}$ | In+Out (Total) | \% of Ext. <br> Trips | In+Out (Total) | In+Out (Total) | In | Out | In | Out |
| Senior Housing Detached | 52 units | 251 | 4.27 | 50\% | 50\% | 222.04 | 0\% | 0.00 | 222.04 | 0\% | 0.00 | 222.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 111.02 | 111.02 |
| Senior Housing Attached | 33 units | 252 | 3.70 | 50\% | 50\% | 122.10 | 0\% | 0.00 | 122.10 | 0\% | 0.00 | 122.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 61.05 | 61.05 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 344.14 |  | 0.00 | 344.14 |  | 0.00 | 344.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 172.07 | 172.07 |

McGarigle Development
Trip Generation for: Development Peak Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour between 7 and 9 AM Weekday AM Peak Hour (a.k.a.):

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NET EXTERNAL TRIPS BY TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | IN BOTH DIRECTIONS |  |  |  | DIRECTIONAL ASSIGNMENTS |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Gross Trips |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Internal } \\ \text { Crossover } \end{gathered}$ |  | TOTAL | PASS-BY |  | NEW | PASS-BY |  | NEW |  |
| LAND USES | VARIABLE | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ITE } \\ \text { LU } \\ \text { code } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trip } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { IN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { out } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In+Out } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Gross } \\ \text { Trips } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trips } \\ & \text { In+Out } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | In+Out <br> (Total) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { Ext. } \\ \text { Trips } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | In+Out <br> (Total) | In+Out <br> (Total) | In | Out | In | Out |
| Senior Housing Detached | 52 units | 251 | 0.24 | 33\% | 67\% | 12.48 | 0\% | 0.00 | 12.48 | 0\% | 0.00 | 12.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.12 | 8.36 |
| Senior Housing Attached | 33 units | 252 | 0.20 | 35\% | 65\% | 6.60 | 0\% | 0.00 | 6.60 | 0\% | 0.00 | 6.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.31 | 4.29 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 19.08 |  | 0.00 | 19.08 |  | 0.00 | 19.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.43 | 12.65 |

$\begin{aligned} \text { Trip Generation for: } & \text { Development Peak Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour between } 4 \text { and } 6 \text { PM } \\ \text { (a.k.a.): } & \text { Weekday PM Peak Hour }\end{aligned}$ Weekday PM Peak Hour
McGarigle Development
GTC \#19-229

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NET EX | RNAL | IPS BY | YPE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BOTH | DIRECTIO |  | DIREC | IONA | ASSIGN | MENTS |
|  |  |  |  | Gros | Trip |  |  | rnal sover | TOTAL |  | S-BY | NEW | PA | -BY |  |  |
| LAND USES | VARIABLE | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { ITE } \\ \text { LU } \\ \text { code } \end{array}$ | Trip Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { IN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { OUT } \end{aligned}$ | In+Out <br> (Total) | \% of Gross <br> Trips | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trips } \\ \text { In+Out } \\ \text { (Total) } \end{gathered}$ | In+Out <br> (Total) | \% of Ext. <br> Trips | In+Out <br> (Total) | In+Out (Total) | In | Out | In | Out |
| Senior Housing Detached | 52 units | 251 | 0.30 | 61\% | 39\% | 15.60 | 0\% | 0.00 | 15.60 | 0\% | 0.00 | 15.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.52 | 6.08 |
| Senior Housing Attached | 33 units | 252 | 0.26 | 55\% | 45\% | 8.58 | 0\% | 0.00 | 8.58 | 0\% | 0.00 | 8.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.72 | 3.86 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 24.18 |  | 0.00 | 24.18 |  | 0.00 | 24.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.24 | 9.94 |

## Citywide Transportation Concurrency Review

Transportation Solutions, Inc.

8250 - 165th Avenue NE
Suite 100
Redmond, WA 98052-6628
T 425-883-4134
F 425-867-0898
www.tsinw.com
January 7, 2020

| TO: | Mark Freiberger, PE |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Director of Public Works |
|  | City of Sedro-Woolley |

FROM: Andrew Bratlien, PE

SUBJECT: Citywide Transportation Concurrency Review

## INTRODUCTION

This memorandum describes the methods, assumptions, and findings of the Sedro-Woolley Citywide Transportation Concurrency Review. This includes a review of intersection and segment Levels of Service (LOS) in 2019 and for two pipeline (2025) development scenarios as well as mitigation recommendations to maintain minimum LOS standards.

## CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT BACKGROUND

Concurrency is mandated under the 1990 Growth Management Act (GMA) passed by the Washington State legislature to address and mitigate problems associated with growth. The GMA requires that transportation improvements or strategies necessary to accommodate development must be made concurrently with land development. Concurrency requires transportation improvements to be either (a) in place at the time of development or (b) that a financial commitment is in place to complete the improvements within six years of development (RCW 36.70A.070(6)(b)).

Transportation concurrency requires that the transportation impacts of land use development actions do not reduce transportation Level of Service (LOS) below the responsible agency's adopted LOS standards. If it is determined during the development review process that the proposed land use action would reduce LOS below the adopted standard, the development must be modified to reduce its transportation impact or provide corrective transportation improvements. Transportation improvements, which may include project funding, must be identified and programmed within a six-year period from development permitting.
Should any of these requirements fail to be met, the development proposal cannot be granted approval.

## 2019 CONDITIONS

## Traffic Counts

Traffic counts were collected at 45 intersections in and near Sedro-Woolley on non-holiday weekdays in April 2015. Updated traffic counts were collected in 2019 at the following five intersections:

- SR 20 \& Township St (October 2019)
- SR 20 \& Fruitdale Rd (October 2019)
- SR 9 \& John Liner Rd/McGarigle Rd (April 2019)
- Fruitdale Rd \& McGarigle Rd (April 2019)
- Fruitdale Rd \& Portobello Ave (October 2019)

Intersection turning movement counts were collected from 4:00-6:00 PM to capture the PM peak period of travel. Counts were then reviewed to identify the PM peak hour of travel, defined as the highest four consecutive fifteen-minute volume intervals during the PM peak period. The PM peak hour represents the one-hour period when traffic volumes are typically at their peak, and generally corresponds to the period of rush hour traffic with commuters returning home from work. The Sedro-Woolley travel demand and intersection LOS models reflect conditions during the PM peak hour of travel.

## Travel Demand Model

The Sedro-Woolley travel demand model was most recently updated in 2015 to reflect PM peak hour traffic volumes in April 2015. As part of this analysis, the travel demand model was updated to include significant land use changes and transportation network improvements which occurred between April 2015 and November 2019.

A list of recently completed (2015-2019) developments was provided by City staff and input to the travel demand model. Recent development growth included a total of 215 new PM peak hour trips internal to the City of Sedro-Woolley. Regional (external) travel demand growth was updated based on 2019 PM peak hour traffic counts.

The updated travel demand model was used to estimate traffic volume growth at intersections which were most recently counted in April 2015.

## 2019 Level of Service

Level of Service Definition
Level of service (LOS) is a qualitative description of the operating performance of an element of transportation infrastructure such as a roadway or an intersection. LOS is typically expressed as a letter score from LOS A, representing free flow conditions with minimal delays, to LOS F, representing breakdown flow with high delays.

Intersection LOS is based on the average delay experienced by a vehicle traveling through an intersection. Delay at a signalized intersection can be caused by waiting for the signal or waiting for the queue ahead to clear the signal. Delay at roundabouts and stop-controlled intersections is caused by waiting for a gap in traffic or waiting for a queue to clear the intersection or roundabout.

Delay for signalized and stop-controlled intersections was calculated in Synchro 9 software using Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (HCM2010) methodology. Roundabout delay was calculated in Sidra Intersection 8 software using the Sidra capacity model and signalized level of service thresholds, per WSDOT October 2019 Sidra policy guidelines.

Delay is defined differently for signalized and all-way stop controlled intersections than for two-way stop controlled (i.e. stop control on minor approach) intersections. For signalized and all-way stop controlled intersections, level of service thresholds are based upon average control delay for all vehicles (on all approach legs) entering the intersection. For minor-approach-only stop controlled intersections, delay is reported for the movement with the worst (highest) delay. Table 1 shows the amount of delay used to determine LOS for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 1. Level of Service Thresholds

| LOS | Signalized and <br> Roundabout Delay (sec/veh) | Unsignalized Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Segment V/C <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 0.60$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ | $>0.60-0.70$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ | $>0.70-0.80$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ | $>0.80-0.90$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ | $>0.90-1.00$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ | $>1.00$ |

Segment LOS was evaluated for each of 75 arterial segments, as identified in the Transportation Element. Street segment LOS is based on the ratio of traffic volume to street capacity. The Transportation Element defines local standards for street capacity based on functional classification, number of lanes, and other physical characteristics, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Sedro-Woolley Segment Capacity Standards

| Functional <br> Classification | Base Peak Hr <br> Capacity <br> (vphpl) | Has Left- <br> Turn Lane <br> (vph) | Has Access <br> Management <br> (vph) | No Bike <br> Lane <br> (vph) | No <br> Sidewalk <br> (vph) | On-Street <br> Parking <br> (vph) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal Arterial | 900 | +450 | +540 | -90 | -180 | -45 |
| Minor Arterial | 800 | +400 | +480 | -40 | -80 | -40 |
| Major Collector | 600 | +300 | +360 | -30 | -60 | -30 |
| Local Access | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Level of Service Policy

The Sedro-Woolley Comprehensive Plan defines minimum LOS standards as LOS D on principal and LOS C on all other streets.

Minimum LOS standards for State facilities are set by the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT). SR 20 and SR 9 are both designated by WSDOT as Highways of Statewide Significance (HSS) with minimum LOS D through Sedro-Woolley. In order to maintain consistency with WSDOT LOS standards, the City of Sedro-Woolley has similarly adopted a minimum LOS D standard for both routes.

## 2019 Level of Service Deficiencies

Existing LOS deficiencies are summarized in Table 3.
Table 3. 2019 Intersection LOS Deficiencies

| ID | Location | Control Type ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { LOS (Delay) }{ }^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | SR 20 \& Reed St | TWSC | F (131) |
| 17 | Cook Rd \& Trail Rd | TWSC | D (31.9) |
| ${ }^{1}$ TWSC = minor approach stop control; AWSC = all-way stop control; Signal = signalized; RAB=roundabout ${ }^{2}$ For TWSC intersections, delay is reported for the worst (i.e. highest-delay) movement; for all other control types, average intersection delay is reported. |  |  |  |

The intersection of SR 20 and Reed St operates with high delay on the stop-controlled (Reed St) approaches during the PM peak hour due to high volumes along SR 20. Mitigation may include prohibition of left-turn
movements from Reed St during the PM peak hour. Mitigation options are described in greater detail later in this document.

The intersection of Cook Rd and Trail Rd currently operates at LOS D, which is below the minimum LOS C standard. The intersection will be impacted by the Trail Rd extension, identified as project C3 in the SedroWoolley Transportation Element.

The intersection of Township St (SR 9) and John Liner Rd/McGarigle Rd currently operates at LOS C with 20.5 seconds of delay on the westbound (McGarigle Rd) approach. Minimum LOS D is satisfied.

No street segments currently operate below minimum LOS standards. Full intersection and segment LOS summaries are provided in Attachment 1.

## 2025 PIPELINE CONDITIONS

## Scenario Design

Pipeline conditions were analyzed for two development scenarios, as shown below. The land use and network improvement assumptions for each scenario are described in greater detail in the following sections.

1. 2025 with Approved Development (2025 Baseline):

1A. Without Jones Rd/John Liner Rd/Trail Rd corridor project
1B. With Jones/John Liner/Trail Rd corridor project
2. 2025 with Additional Development ( 2025 Pending Applications):

2A. Without Jones Rd/John Liner Rd/Trail Rd corridor project
2B. With Jones/John Liner/Trail Rd corridor project
The 2025 Baseline land use scenario included developments which were permitted but not occupied as of November 2019. Two network improvement scenarios were evaluated under the 2025 Baseline development scenario: without (1A) and with (1B) the Jones/John Liner/Trail Rd corridor projects. Transportation network improvement assumptions are described in greater detail later in this document.

The 2025 Pending Applications land use scenario included developments which have submitted permit applications but have not been approved as of November 2019. The 2025 Pending Applications scenarios also included development-constructed transportation improvement projects which were identified by City staff, as described in the following section. Similar to the 2025 Baseline scenarios, the 2025 Pending Applications scenarios included two transportation network improvement scenarios: without (2A) and with (2B) the Jones/John Liner/Trail Rd corridor projects.

## Land Development

## 2025 Baseline

A 2025 Baseline travel demand forecast was calculated based on the sum of local (internal) and regional (external) growth forecasts. Sedro-Woolley staff developed a list of four "pipeline" developments which have permitted but not occupied as of November 2019, representing a total of 115 new PM peak hour trips in the City. Pipeline regional travel demand growth was calculated based on SCOG regional travel demand forecasts for arterials at the City boundaries.

## 2025 Pending Applications

Sedro-Woolley staff provided a list of five development applications which are pending approval. The developments, identified in Table 4, constitute a total of 362 new PM peak hour trips.

Table 4. Pipeline Developments Pending Approval

| Name | Description | New PM Trips |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Dukes Hill Subdivision | 201 single-family units | 179 |
| McGarigle Subdivision | 85 age-restricted single-family units | 70 |
| Gateway Golf Course Subdivision | 99 single-family detached units; | 76 |
|  | 16 townhome units |  |
| F\&S Grade Rd Subdivision | 31 single-family detached units | 31 |
| Debbie Dr Subdivision | 6 single-family detached units | 6 |
|  | Total New PM Peak Hour Trips |  |

Two of the developments identified in Table 4 include construction of new roadways which are identified in the Sedro-Woolley Transportation Element. Dukes Hill Subdivision will construct project C18, an extension of Portobello Ave from its existing terminus west to Township St (SR 9). F\&S Grade Rd Subdivision will construct project C9B, an extension of Garden of Eden Rd from Jones Rd to intersect F\&S Grade Rd to the south. Transportation improvement project assumptions are described in greater detail in the following section.

## Transportation Improvement Projects

Sedro-Woolley staff provided a list of 14 capacity-related transportation improvement projects which are planned for construction by 2026. Per Sedro-Woolley segment LOS policy, capacity-related projects include nonmotorized improvements on arterial routes. Table $\mathbf{5}$ summarizes transportation improvement projects which were assumed for each scenario of this analysis.

Development-driven improvement projects, including the Trail Rd/Garden of Eden Rd extension and the Portobello Ave arterial extension, were assumed to be constructed in both 2025 Pending Applications scenarios (2A, 2B).

The six-year transportation improvement project list included four intersection improvements, as identified in Table 5, which were evaluated and modeled as necessary to mitigate intersection LOS deficiencies. The necessity of these intersection improvement projects is described in the following section.

Table 5. 2020-2026 Transportation Capacity Improvement Projects by Scenario

| ID | Project Name | From/To | Description | Expected Cn Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 Baseline Transportation Capacity Improvement Projects (All Scenarios) |  |  |  |  |
| S16 | SR 20 \& Township St (SR 9) In | ection Imp. | Signal \& channelization impr. | 2021 |
| S14C | SR 20/Cascade Trail West Extension Phase 2A | Holtcamp Rd to Hodgin Rd | Shared use path | 2022 |
| C1C | John Liner Rd Bike/Ped Imp. | Reed St to SR 9 | Shared use path | 2023 |
| Jones/John Liner/Trail Rd Corridor Projects (Scenarios 1B, 2B) |  |  |  |  |
| C19 | Patrick St Arterial Extension | Michael St to Jones St | New major collector w/sidewalks | 2021 |
| C1B | Jones/John Liner RR Crossing | Sapp Rd to Reed St | New RR undercrossing and new major collector street | 2022 |
| C1D | John Liner Rd Arterial Imp. | Reed St to Township St | Reconstruct to major collector section | 2024 |
| C9A | Trail Rd Arterial Extension | Cook Rd to F\&S Grade Rd | New major collector | 2025 |
| C1A | Jones Rd Arterial Imp. | F\&S Grade Rd to Sapp Rd | Reconstruct to major collector including sidewalk | 2026 |
| 2025 Development-Driven Transportation Capacity Improvement Projects (Scenarios 2A, 2B) |  |  |  |  |
| C9B | Trail Rd - Garden of Eden Rd Extension | F\&S Grade Rd to Jones Rd | New major collector | TBD |
| C18 | Portobello Ave Arterial Extension | Township St to Cascadia Dr | New major collector | TBD |
| Intersection Capacity Improvement Projects (Applied as Necessary) |  |  |  |  |
| S2 | SR 20 \& Reed St Intersection |  | Restrict minor approaches to right-in/right-out only | 2021 |
| S17 | Township St (SR 9) \& John Lin Intersection Imp. | d/McGarigle Rd | New signal or roundabout | 2023 |
| S18 | SR 9 \& State St Intersection Im |  | Add dedicated right-turn lane on west leg | 2024 |
| C3 | Cook Rd \& Trail Rd Intersectio |  | Intersection improvements | 2025 |

## 2025 Level of Service

Intersection and segment LOS were analyzed for the 2025 Baseline and 2025 Pending Applications scenarios. Intersection LOS deficiencies are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6. Pipeline (2025) Intersection Level of Service Deficiencies

| ID Location | Control Type ${ }^{1}$ | 2025 Baseline LOS (Delay) ${ }^{2}$ | 2025 Pending LOS (Delay) ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 SR 20 \& Reed St |  |  |  |
| w/o Jones/John Liner Rd Crossing | TWSC | F (154) | F (204) |
| w/ Jones/John Liner Rd Crossing | TWSC | F (54.8) | F (58.5) |
| w/ crossing + right-in/right-out (Project S2) | RIRO | C (17.9) | C (17.8) |
| 17 Cook Rd \& Trail Rd |  |  |  |
| w/o Trail Rd Extension / TWSC | TWSC | E (35.3) | E (39.5) |
| w/ Trail Rd Extension / TWSC | TWSC | F (493) | F (>999) |
| w/ Trail Rd Ext. / roundabout (Project C3) | RAB | A (7.9) | B (9.6) |
| 29 Township St (SR 9) \& John Liner/McGarigle Rd |  |  |  |
| w/o Jones/John Liner Rd Crossing | TWSC | C (22.6) | D (28.5) |
| w/ crossing \& two-way stop control | TWSC | F (50.2) | F (181) |
| w/ crossing \& roundabout (Project S17) | RAB | A (7.5) | A (7.8) |
| w/ crossing \& signal control (Project S17) | Signal | A (9.3) | B (10.7) |

${ }^{1}$ TWSC = minor approach stop control; AWSC = all-way stop control; Signal = signalized; RAB=roundabout
${ }^{2}$ For TWSC intersections, delay is reported for the worst (i.e. highest-delay) movement; for all other control types, average intersection delay is reported.

The intersection of SR 20 and Reed St will continue to operate at LOS F with high minor-approach delay during the PM peak hour. The traffic redistribution associated with the Jones/John Liner Rd undercrossing will reduce delay but will not mitigate the LOS deficiency. Prohibiting left-turns from Reed St onto SR 20 during the PM peak hour will allow the intersection to satisfy minimum LOS standards. This is consistent with improvement project S2 identified in Transportation Element.

The intersection of Cook Rd and Trail Rd will degrade to LOS E in the 2025 Baseline Without-Trail Rd scenario. The 2025 Pending Applications scenario will result in slightly higher delay but no reduction in LOS. After the construction of the Trail Rd extension, the intersection will operate at LOS F with very high delay on the north and south approaches. Mitigation may include a single-lane roundabout, which is consistent with improvement project C3 identified in the Transportation Element.

The intersection of Township St (SR 9) and John Liner Rd/McGarigle Rd will operate at LOS C in the 2025 Baseline Without Trail Rd scenario. The addition of pending applications will increase delay, resulting in LOS D, but will not trigger an LOS deficiency. The construction of the Jones/John Liner Rd undercrossing will result in LOS F, with very high delays on the John Liner Rd approach. Mitigation may include a single-lane roundabout or signal, which is consistent with project S17 identified in the Transportation Element.

The intersection of SR 9 and State St is identified for improvement in the Transportation Element, but the improvement will not be necessary in the six-year concurrency horizon. The intersection operates at LOS D in all 2025 analysis scenarios and satisfies the minimum LOS D standard for SR 9.

No segment LOS deficiencies will occur by 2025. 2025 Baseline intersection and segment LOS results are summarized in Attachment 2. 2025 Pending Applications LOS results are summarized in Attachment 3. Full intersection LOS reports may be provided upon request.
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## FINDINGS

- Pending development will generate 362 new PM peak hour trips.
- Trips associated with pending development will increase delay at several intersections but will not cause any new LOS deficiencies.
- Township St (SR 9) and John Liner Rd/McGarigle Rd intersection:
- The intersection of Township St (SR 9) and John Liner Rd/McGarigle Rd currently satisfies minimum LOS D standard but will reach LOS F by 2025, assuming the construction of the Jones/John Liner Rd corridor projects.
- Cook Rd and Trail Rd intersection:
- Currently operates at LOS D, below the minimum LOS C standard.
- Will degrade to LOS E by 2025, assuming no extension of Trail Rd
- Will degrade to LOS F including very high minor-approach delays with the planned Trail Rd extension.
- SR 20 and Reed St intersection:
- Currently operates at LOS F.
- Will continue to operate at LOS F with high minor-approach delay during PM peak hour.
- All Comprehensive Plan street segments will satisfy minimum LOS standards through 2025.


## RECOMMENDATIONS

- Township St (SR 9) and John Liner Rd/McGarigle Rd intersection: A single-lane roundabout or signal is recommended concurrent with the Jones Rd/John Liner Rd undercrossing to maintain minimum LOS
- Cook Rd and Trail Rd intersection: A single-lane roundabout or traffic signal is recommended to mitigate the existing LOS deficiency.
- SR 20 and Reed St intersection: Prohibit left turn movements from Reed St during PM peak hour.

Attachment 1. 2019 LOS Results
Attachment 2. 2025 LOS Results
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2019 Intersection LOS Results

| ID | Location | Control Type ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { LOS (Delay) }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Deficient? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SR 20 \& Collins Rd | Signal | B (11.3) |  |
| 2 | SR 20 \& Rhodes Rd | Signal | B (10.8) |  |
| 3 | SR 20 \& Trail Rd | Signal | C (26.7) |  |
| 4 | SR 20 \& SR 9 (west) | Signal | B (14.4) |  |
| 5 | SR 20 \& Ferry St | Signal | B (15.8) |  |
| 6 | SR 20 \& Cook Rd | RAB | A (9.5) |  |
| 7 | SR 20 \& F\&S Grade Rd | TWSC | C (16.3) |  |
| 8 | SR 20 \& Patrick St | RAB | A (4.4) |  |
| 9 | SR 20 \& Metcalf St | TWSC | D (25.1) |  |
| 10 | SR 20 \& Murdock St | TWSC | D (26.1) |  |
| 11 | SR 20 \& Reed St | TWSC | D (31.3) |  |
| 12 | SR 20 \& Central Ave | TWSC | C (23.2) |  |
| 13 | SR 20 \& Ball St | TWSC | C (21.4) |  |
| 14 | SR 20 \& Township St (SR 9) | Signal | D (48.8) |  |
| 15 | SR 20 \& Fruitdale Rd | Signal | B (10.8) |  |
| 16 | SR 20 \& Helmick Rd | TWSC | B (10.4) |  |
| 17 | Cook Rd \& Trail Rd | TWSC | D (31.9) | Yes |
| 18 | Cook Rd \& Ferry St | RAB | A (6.8) |  |
| 19 | SR 9 \& State St | Signal | D (40.9) |  |
| 20 | State St \& Metcalf St | AWSC | B (14.1) |  |
| 21 | State St \& Reed St | TWSC | B (13.2) |  |
| 22 | State St \& Township St | AWSC | B (13) |  |
| 23 | State St \& Railroad St | AWSC | A (8.1) |  |
| 24 | Hoehn Rd \& Fruitdale Rd | TWSC | A (9.3) |  |
| 26 | Ferry St \& Metcalf St | AWSC | B (12.2) |  |
| 27 | Ferry St \& Reed St | TWSC | B (11.8) |  |
| 28 | Ferry St \& Township St | TWSC | C (16.4) |  |
| 29 | Township St (SR 9) \& John Liner Rd | TWSC | C (20.5) |  |
| 30 | SR 9 \& Kalloch Rd | TWSC | B (11.2) |  |
| 31 | Jameson St \& 3rd St | AWSC | A (8.7) |  |
| 32 | Jameson St \& Township St | TWSC | B (12.7) |  |
| 33 | John Liner Rd \& Reed St | TWSC | B (10.7) |  |
| 34 | McGarigle Rd \& Carter St | TWSC | A (8.8) |  |
| 36 | Fruitdale Rd \& McGarigle Rd | TWSC | B (10) |  |
| 37 | Fruitdale Rd \& Portobello Ave | TWSC | B (10.6) |  |
| 41 | Fruitdale Rd \& Kalloch Rd | TWSC | A (8.6) |  |
| 42 | Minkler Rd \& Fruitdale Rd | TWSC | B (11.1) |  |
| 43 | SR 9 \& Jameson St | RAB | A (6.1) |  |
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2019 Segment LOS Results

| ID | Name | Limits | Functional Classification | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & \text { V/C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | SR 20 | Collins Rd to Rhodes Rd | Principal Art. | 0.82 | D |
| 2002 | SR 20 | Rhodes Rd to W State St | Principal Art. | 0.80 | D |
| 2003 | SR 20 | State St to SR 9 | Principal Art. | 0.48 | A |
| 2004 | SR 20 | SR 9 to W Ferry St | Principal Art. | 0.59 | A |
| 2005 | SR 20 | W Ferry St to Cook Rd | Principal Art. | 0.45 | A |
| 2006 | SR 20 | Cook Rd to F\&S Grade Rd | Principal Art. | 0.76 | C |
| 2007 | SR 20 | F\&S Grade Rd to Patrick St | Principal Art. | 0.79 | C |
| 2008 | SR 20 | Patrick St to Metcalf St | Principal Art. | 0.75 | C |
| 2009 | SR 20 | Metcalf St to Reed St | Principal Art. | 0.80 | D |
| 2010 | SR 20 | Reed St to Township St | Principal Art. | 0.73 | C |
| 3001 | SR 20 | Township St to Fruitdale | Minor Art. | 0.57 | A |
| 3002 | SR 20 | Fruitdale Rd to Helmick Rd | Minor Art. | 0.39 | A |
| 3003 | SR 9 | City Limit to W Nelson St | Minor Art. | 0.76 | C |
| 3004 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | - |
| 3005 | SR 9 | W Nelson St to W State St | Minor Art. | 0.58 | A |
| 3006 | SR 9 | W State St to SR 20 | Minor Art. | 0.25 | A |
| 3007 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | - |
| 3008 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | - |
| 3009 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | - |
| 3010 | Cook Rd | City Limit to Trail Rd | Minor Art. | 0.59 | A |
| 3011 | Cook Rd | Trail Rd to Ferry St | Minor Art. | 0.55 | A |
| 3012 | Cook Rd | Ferry St to SR 20 | Minor Art. | 0.42 | A |
| 3013 | F\&S Grade Rd | City Limit to Murrow St | Minor Art. | 0.09 | A |
| 3014 | F\&S Grade Rd | Murrow St to SR 20 | Minor Art. | 0.10 | A |
| 3015 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | - |
| 3016 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | - |
| 3017 | Ferry St | SR 20 to Metcalf St | Minor Art. | 0.42 | A |
| 3018 | Ferry St | Metcalf St to Reed St | Minor Art. | 0.28 | A |
| 3019 | Ferry St | Reed St to Township St | Minor Art. | 0.20 | A |
| 3020 | State St | SR 20 to SR 9 | Minor Art. | 0.48 | A |
| 3021 | State St | SR 9 to Metcalf St | Minor Art. | 0.58 | A |
| 3022 | State St | Metcalf St to 3rd St | Minor Art. | 0.46 | A |
| 3023 | State St | 3rd St to Reed St | Minor Art. | 0.45 | A |
| 3024 | State St | Reed St to Township St | Minor Art. | 0.45 | A |
| 3025 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | - |
| 3026 | Township St | State St to Ferry St | Minor Art. | 0.32 | A |
| 3027 | Township St | Ferry St to Wicker Rd | Minor Art. | 0.38 | A |
| 3028 | Township St | Wicker Rd to SR 20 | Minor Art. | 0.35 | A |
| 3029 | Township St (SR 9) | SR 20 to McGarigle Rd | Minor Art. | 0.51 | A |
| 3030 | Township St (SR 9) | McGarigle Rd to Sapp Rd | Minor Art. | 0.45 | A |
| 3031 | Township St (SR 9) | Sapp Rd to Bassett Rd | Minor Art. | 0.38 | A |
| 3032 | Township St (SR 9) | Bassett Rd to Kalloch | Minor Art. | 0.31 | A |
| 3033 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | - |
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| ID Name |  | Limits | Functional | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 2019 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | Classification | V/C | LOS
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| ID | Name | Limits | Functional <br> Classification | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> V/C | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> LOS |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5006 | [reserved] |  | 0.00 | - |  |
| 5007 | Bassett Rd | Eikleberry Ct (Pvt) to SR 9 | Local | 0.03 | A |
| 5008 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | - |
| 5009 | [reserved] |  | 0.00 | - |  |
| 5010 | [reserved] |  | 0.00 | - |  |
| 5011 | [reserved] | 0.00 | - |  |  |

2025 Intersection LOS Results

| ID | Location | Control <br> Type ${ }^{1}$ | 2025 LOS (Delay) ${ }^{2}$ |  | Deficient? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Baseline | Alternative | Baseline | Alternative |
| 1 | SR 20 \& Collins Rd | Signal | B (13.7) | B (13.6) |  |  |
| 2 | SR 20 \& Rhodes Rd | Signal | B (11.1) | B (10.7) |  |  |
| 3 | SR 20 \& Trail Rd | Signal | C (25.1) | C (23.8) |  |  |
| 4 | SR 20 \& SR 9 (west) | Signal | B (16.7) | B (16.8) |  |  |
| 5 | SR 20 \& Ferry St | Signal | B (15.6) | B (16.1) |  |  |
| 6 | SR 20 \& Cook Rd | RAB | B (11.8) | B (11.4) |  |  |
| 7 | SR 20 \& F\&S Grade Rd | TWSC | C (16) | C (15.7) |  |  |
| 8 | SR 20 \& Patrick St | RAB | A (6.5) | A (6.5) |  |  |
| 9 | SR 20 \& Metcalf St | TWSC | D (25.7) | D (25.1) |  |  |
| 10 | SR 20 \& Murdock St | TWSC | C (23) | C (23) |  |  |
| 11 | SR 20 \& Reed St | TWSC | C (24.8) | D (25.3) |  |  |
| 12 | SR 20 \& Central Ave | TWSC | C (22.8) | C (22.6) |  |  |
| 13 | SR 20 \& Ball St | TWSC | C (21.2) | C (21) |  |  |
| 14 | SR 20 \& Township St (SR 9) | Signal | B (19.9) | C (21) |  |  |
| 15 | SR 20 \& Fruitdale Rd | Signal | B (11) | B (11.6) |  |  |
| 16 | SR 20 \& Helmick Rd | TWSC | B (10.6) | B (10.6) |  |  |
| 17 | Cook Rd \& Trail Rd | TWSC | F (492.8) | F (999) | Yes | Yes |
| 18 | Cook Rd \& Ferry St | RAB | A (5.7) | A (5.6) |  |  |
| 19 | SR 9 \& State St | Signal | D (44.5) | D (43.6) |  |  |
| 20 | State St \& Metcalf St | AWSC | B (12.1) | B (12) |  |  |
| 21 | State St \& Reed St | TWSC | B (11.9) | B (11.9) |  |  |
| 22 | State St \& Township St | AWSC | B (11) | B (11.4) |  |  |
| 23 | State St \& Railroad St | AWSC | A (8.1) | A (8.1) |  |  |
| 24 | Hoehn Rd \& Fruitdale Rd | TWSC | A (9.4) | A (9.4) |  |  |
| 26 | Ferry St \& Metcalf St | AWSC | B (10.9) | B (10.6) |  |  |
| 27 | Ferry St \& Reed St | TWSC | B (11.4) | B (11.2) |  |  |
| 28 | Ferry St \& Township St | TWSC | B (12.7) | B (12.7) |  |  |
| 29 | Township St \& John Liner Rd | TWSC | F (50.2) | F (178.7) | Yes | Yes |
| 30 | SR 9 \& Kalloch Rd | TWSC | B (12.1) | B (12.3) |  |  |
| 31 | Jameson St \& 3rd St | AWSC | A (8.2) | A (8.2) |  |  |
| 32 | Jameson St \& Township St | TWSC | B (11.6) | B (11.7) |  |  |
| 33 | John Liner Rd \& Reed St | TWSC | C (18.1) | C (21.8) |  |  |
| 34 | McGarigle Rd \& Carter St | TWSC | A (8.9) | A (9.8) |  |  |
| 36 | Fruitdale Rd \& McGarigle Rd | TWSC | B (10.3) | B (10.9) |  |  |
| 37 | Fruitdale Rd \& Portobello Ave | TWSC | B (13.9) | B (14.7) |  |  |
| 41 | Fruitdale Rd \& Kalloch Rd | TWSC | A (8.8) | A (8.8) |  |  |
| 42 | Minkler Rd \& Fruitdale Rd | TWSC | B (11.3) | B (11.2) |  |  |
| 43 | SR 9 \& Jameson St | RAB | A (6.7) | A (5.4) |  |  |
| 44 | F\&S Grade Rd \& Trail Rd | TWSC | A (9.8) | C (15.2) |  |  |
| 45 | Jones Rd \& Garden of Eden Rd | TWSC | B (10.1) | C (16.4) |  |  |
| 46 | Jones Rd \& Patrick St | TWSC | B (11.6) | B (13.3) |  |  |
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2025 Segment LOS Results

| ID | Name | Limits | Functional Classification | 2025 V/C |  | 2025 LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Base | Alt. | Base | Alt. |
| 2001 | SR 20 | Collins Rd to Rhodes Rd | Principal Art. | 0.72 | 0.72 | C | C |
| 2002 | SR 20 | Rhodes Rd to W State St | Principal Art. | 0.80 | 0.80 | D | D |
| 2003 | SR 20 | State St to SR 9 | Principal Art. | 0.48 | 0.48 | A | A |
| 2004 | SR 20 | SR 9 to W Ferry St | Principal Art. | 0.59 | 0.59 | A | A |
| 2005 | SR 20 | W Ferry St to Cook Rd | Principal Art. | 0.45 | 0.45 | A | A |
| 2006 | SR 20 | Cook Rd to F\&S Grade Rd | Principal Art. | 0.76 | 0.76 | C | C |
| 2007 | SR 20 | F\&S Grade Rd to Patrick St | Principal Art. | 0.79 | 0.79 | C | C |
| 2008 | SR 20 | Patrick St to Metcalf St | Principal Art. | 0.75 | 0.75 | C | C |
| 2009 | SR 20 | Metcalf St to Reed St | Principal Art. | 0.80 | 0.80 | D | D |
| 2010 | SR 20 | Reed St to Township St | Principal Art. | 0.73 | 0.73 | C | C |
| 3001 | SR 20 | Township St to Fruitdale | Minor Art. | 0.57 | 0.57 | A | A |
| 3002 | SR 20 | Fruitdale Rd to Helmick Rd | Minor Art. | 0.39 | 0.39 | A | A |
| 3003 | SR 9 | City Limit to W Nelson St | Minor Art. | 0.76 | 0.76 | C | C |
| 3004 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 3005 | SR 9 | W Nelson St to W State St | Minor Art. | 0.58 | 0.58 | A | A |
| 3006 | SR 9 | W State St to SR 20 | Minor Art. | 0.25 | 0.25 | A | A |
| 3007 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 3008 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 3009 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 3010 | Cook Rd | City Limit to Trail Rd | Minor Art. | 0.59 | 0.59 | A | A |
| 3011 | Cook Rd | Trail Rd to Ferry St | Minor Art. | 0.55 | 0.55 | A | A |
| 3012 | Cook Rd | Ferry St to SR 20 | Minor Art. | 0.42 | 0.42 | A | A |
| 3013 | F\&S Grade Rd | City Limit to Murrow St | Minor Art. | 0.09 | 0.09 | A | A |
| 3014 | F\&S Grade Rd | Murrow St to SR 20 | Minor Art. | 0.10 | 0.10 | A | A |
| 3015 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 3016 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 3017 | Ferry St | SR 20 to Metcalf St | Minor Art. | 0.42 | 0.42 | A | A |
| 3018 | Ferry St | Metcalf St to Reed St | Minor Art. | 0.28 | 0.28 | A | A |
| 3019 | Ferry St | Reed St to Township St | Minor Art. | 0.20 | 0.20 | A | A |
| 3020 | State St | SR 20 to SR 9 | Minor Art. | 0.48 | 0.48 | A | A |
| 3021 | State St | SR 9 to Metcalf St | Minor Art. | 0.58 | 0.58 | A | A |
| 3022 | State St | Metcalf St to 3rd St | Minor Art. | 0.46 | 0.46 | A | A |
| 3023 | State St | 3rd St to Reed St | Minor Art. | 0.45 | 0.45 | A | A |
| 3024 | State St | Reed St to Township St | Minor Art. | 0.45 | 0.45 | A | A |
| 3025 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 3026 | Township St | State St to Ferry St | Minor Art. | 0.32 | 0.32 | A | A |
| 3027 | Township St | Ferry St to Wicker Rd | Minor Art. | 0.38 | 0.38 | A | A |
| 3028 | Township St | Wicker Rd to SR 20 | Minor Art. | 0.35 | 0.35 | A | A |
| 3029 | Township St (SR 9) | SR 20 to McGarigle Rd | Minor Art. | 0.51 | 0.51 | A | A |
| 3030 | Township St (SR 9) | McGarigle Rd to Sapp Rd | Minor Art. | 0.45 | 0.45 | A | A |
| 3031 | Township St (SR 9) | Sapp Rd to Bassett Rd | Minor Art. | 0.43 | 0.50 | A | A |
| 3032 | Township St (SR 9) | Bassett Rd to Kalloch | Minor Art. | 0.31 | 0.31 | A | A |
| 3033 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
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| ID | Name | Limits | Functional Classification | 2025 V/C |  | 2025 LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Base | Alt. | Base | Alt. |
| 3034 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 4001 | 3rd St | Sterling St to Jameson St | Major Coll. | 0.19 | 0.19 | A | A |
| 4002 | 3rd St | Jameson St to State St | Major Coll. | 0.11 | 0.11 | A | A |
| 4003 | Batey Rd | W Nelson St to Jameson St | Major Coll. | 0.08 | 0.07 | A | A |
| 4004 | Fruitdale Rd | River Rd to Hoehn Rd | Major Coll. | 0.04 | 0.04 | A | A |
| 4005 | Fruitdale Rd | Hoehn Rd to Minkler Rd | Major Coll. | 0.05 | 0.05 | A | A |
| 4006 | Fruitdale Rd | Minkler Rd to Wicker Rd | Major Coll. | 0.14 | 0.14 | A | A |
| 4007 | Fruitdale Rd | Wicker Rd to SR 20 | Major Coll. | 0.13 | 0.13 | A | A |
| 4008 | Fruitdale Rd | SR 20 to McGarigle Rd | Major Coll. | 0.18 | 0.18 | A | A |
| 4009 | Fruitdale Rd | McGarigle to Thompson Dr | Major Coll. | 0.20 | 0.20 | A | A |
| 4010 | Fruitdale Rd | Thompson Dr to Kalloch | Major Coll. | 0.01 | 0.01 | A | A |
| 4011 | Jameson St | Batey Rd to 3rd St | Major Coll. | 0.28 | 0.28 | A | A |
| 4012 | Jameson St | 3rd St to 6th St | Major Coll. | 0.13 | 0.13 | A | A |
| 4013 | Jameson St | 6th St to Township St | Major Coll. | 0.11 | 0.11 | A | A |
| 4014 | Jameson St | Township St to Railroad Ave | Major Coll. | 0.07 | 0.07 | A | A |
| 4015 | John Liner Rd | Reed St to Township St | Major Coll. | 0.06 | 0.06 | A | A |
| 4016 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 4017 | McGarigle Rd | Township St to Fruitdale | Major Coll. | 0.17 | 0.17 | A | A |
| 4018 | Metcalf St | State St to Ferry St | Major Coll. | 0.24 | 0.24 | A | A |
| 4019 | Metcalf St | Ferry St to SR 20 | Major Coll. | 0.22 | 0.22 | A | A |
| 4020 | Minkler Rd | State St to Fruitdale Rd | Major Coll. | 0.13 | 0.13 | A | A |
| 4021 | Nelson St | SR 9 to Batey Rd | Major Coll. | 0.28 | 0.28 | A | A |
| 4022 | Railroad Ave | Jameson St to State St | Major Coll. | 0.20 | 0.20 | A | A |
| 4023 | Reed St | State St to Ferry St | Major Coll. | 0.02 | 0.02 | A | A |
| 4024 | Reed St | Ferry St to SR 20 | Major Coll. | 0.02 | 0.02 | A | A |
| 4025 | Reed St | SR 20 to John Liner Rd | Major Coll. | 0.20 | 0.20 | A | A |
| 4026 | Reed St | John Liner Rd to Sapp Rd | Major Coll. | 0.18 | 0.18 | A | A |
| 4027 | Rhodes Rd | SR 20 to SR 9 | Major Coll. | 0.05 | 0.05 | A | A |
| 4028 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 4029 | Sapp Rd | Reed St to Township Rd | Major Coll. | 0.07 | 0.07 | A | A |
| 4030 | State St | Township to Railroad Ave | Major Coll. | 0.19 | 0.19 | A | A |
| 4031 | Sterling St | 3rd St to 6th St | Major Coll. | 0.09 | 0.09 | A | A |
| 4032 | Sterling St | 6th St to Township St | Major Coll. | 0.02 | 0.02 | A | A |
| 4033 | Township St | River Rd to Sterling St | Major Coll. | 0.21 | 0.21 | A | A |
| 4034 | Township St | Sterling St to Jameson St | Major Coll. | 0.23 | 0.23 | A | A |
| 4035 | Township St | Jameson St to State St | Major Coll. | 0.25 | 0.25 | A | A |
| 4036 | Trail Road | SR 20 to Cook Rd | Major Coll. | 0.27 | 0.27 | A | A |
| 4037 | Wicker Rd | Township St to Fruitdale | Major Coll. | 0.35 | 0.33 | A | A |
| 4038 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 5001 | Jones Rd | F\&S Grade Rd to Garden of Eden Rd | Local | 0.24 | 0.10 | A | A |
| 5002 | Jones Rd | Garden of Eden to Sapp Rd | Local | 0.25 | 0.38 | A | A |
| 5003 | Garden of Eden Rd | F\&S Grade Rd to Jones Rd | Local | 0.48 | 0.14 | A | A |

Transportation Solutions, Inc.

| ID | Name | Limits | Functional Classification | 2025 V/C |  | 2025 LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Base | Alt. | Base | Alt. |
| 5004 | Garden of Eden Rd | Jones Rd to Kiens Ln (Pvt) | Local | 0.24 | 0.26 | A | A |
| 5005 | [reserved] |  | Local | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 5006 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 5007 | Bassett Rd | Eikleberry Ct (Pvt) to SR 9 | Local | 0.03 | 0.03 | A | A |
| 5008 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 5009 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 5010 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |
| 5011 | [reserved] |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - |

## Counts



## SR-9 @ McGarigle Road

## Sedro Woolley, WA

COUNTED BY:
REDUCTION DATE:
$\qquad$
Thu. 2/13/20

DATE OF COUNT: Tue. 2/11/20
TIME OF COUNT: 1:45 PM - 4:00 PM

| LOCATION: | SR-9 @ McGarigle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DATE OF COUNT: TIME OF COUNT: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tue. 2/11/2020 } \\ & \text { 1:45 PM - 4:00 PM } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | COUNTED BY: DATE OF REDUCTION: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDG } \\ & \underline{2 / 13 / 2020} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sedro Woolley, WA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TIME INTERVAL | FROM NORTH ON SR-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FROM SOUTH ON SR-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FROM EAST ON <br> McGarigle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  | FROM WEST ON <br> John Liner Road |  |  |  |  |  |  | INTERVAL TOTALS |
| AT | Peds | Bicycle | HV | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Bicycle | HV | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |  |  |  | Bicycle | HV | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Bicycle |  | HV | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 42 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 135 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 136 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 58 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 164 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 67 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 153 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 58 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 65 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 187 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 48 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 201 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 61 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 52 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 195 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 51 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 56 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 17 | 24 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 211 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 49 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 80 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 187 |
| PEAK HOUR TOTALS | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 35 | 218 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 9 | 251 | 86 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 77 | 24 | 48 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 14 | INTERSECTION |
| ALL MOVEMENTS |  |  |  | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  | 794 |
| \% HV |  |  | 8.1\% | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.8\% |  |  |  |  | 6.5\% |
| PEAK HOUR FACTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.81 |  |  |  | 0.94 |

[^2]ROLLING HOUR COUNT

| TIME INTERVAL | FROM NORTH ON SR-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FROM SOUTH ON SR-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FROM EAST ON McGarigle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  | FROM WEST ON <br> John Liner Road |  |  |  |  |  |  | interval TOTALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peds | Bicycle | HV | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Bicycle | HV | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Bicycle | HV | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Bicycle | HV | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 PM - 1:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 PM - 1:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:15 PM-2:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:30 PM - 2:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1:45 PM - 2:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 14 | 172 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 213 | 75 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 51 | 15 | 14 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 588 |
| 2:00 PM - 3:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 175 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 236 | 73 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 61 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 16 | 640 |
| 2:15 PM - 3:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 27 | 183 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 268 | 72 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 65 | 18 | 26 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 705 |
| 2:30 PM - 3:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 33 | 209 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 262 | 85 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 59 | 11 | 28 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 736 |
| 2:45 PM - 3:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 35 | 218 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 9 | 251 | 86 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 77 | 24 | 48 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 14 | 794 |
| 3:00 PM - 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 33 | 209 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 22 | , | 8 | 266 | 84 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 79 | 25 | 48 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 13 | 794 |
| 1:45 PM - 4:00 PM To | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 52 | 439 | 13 | 39 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 19 | 54 | 17 | 13 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 144 | 45 | 71 | 37 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14 |  | 32 | 1569 |

## traffic DAtA GAthering

## TURNING MOVEMENTS DIAGRAM <br> 7:00 AM - 9:00 AM PEAK HOUR: 7:00 AM TO 8:00 AM



HV = Heavy Vehicles
PHF = Peak Hour Factor
SR-20 @ Carter Street
Sedro Woolley, WA

COUNTED BY: TDG
REDUCTION DATE: Thu. 2/13/20

DATE OF COUNT: Wed. 2/12/20
TIME OF COUNT: 7:00 AM - 9:00 AM


HV $=$ Heavy Vehicle
PHF $=$ Peak Hour Factor


## Turning Movement Calculations and LOS



4 SR-20 @ Carter Rd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | T | A | F |  | Y |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 11 | 509 | 612 | 19 | 12 | 119 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 11 | 509 | 612 | 19 | 12 | 119 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 100 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 559 | 673 | 21 | 13 | 131 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 694 | 0 | - | 0 | 1267 | 684 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 684 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 583 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.18 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.272 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 874 | - | - | - | 188 | 452 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 505 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 562 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 874 | - | - | - | 185 | 452 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 323 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 498 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 562 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.2 | 0 | 17.3 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | C |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 874 | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | A | A | F |  | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 11 | 509 | 612 | 19 | 12 | 119 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 11 | 509 | 612 | 19 | 12 | 119 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 100 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 86 | 86 | 53 | 53 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 14 | 653 | 712 | 22 | 23 | 225 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 734 | 0 | - | 0 | 1404 | 723 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 723 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 681 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.18 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.272 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 844 | - | - | - | 155 | 430 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 484 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 506 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 844 | - | - | - | 152 | 430 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 290 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 476 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 506 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 0.2 | 0 | 26 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | $D$ |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 844 | - | - | - | 412 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.017 | - | - | - | 0.6 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | - | - | - | 26 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | D |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | - | 3.8 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | T | A | F |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 17 | 509 | 612 | 19 | 12 | 132 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 17 | 509 | 612 | 19 | 12 | 132 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 100 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 19 | 559 | 673 | 21 | 13 | 145 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 694 | 0 | - | 0 | 1281 | 684 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 684 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 597 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.18 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.272 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 874 | - | - | - | 184 | 452 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 505 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 554 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 874 | - | - | - | 180 | 452 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 317 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 494 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 554 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 | 0 | 17.8 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | $C$ |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 874 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.021 | - | - | -0.362 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.2 | - | - | -17.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| C | 1.6 |  |  |  |

Gibson Traffic Consultants, Inc. [\#19-229, ZJW]
2025 Future with Development - AM Peak - 100\% Analysis.syn

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | A | F |  | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 17 | 509 | 612 | 19 | 12 | 132 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 17 | 509 | 612 | 19 | 12 | 132 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 100 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 86 | 86 | 53 | 53 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 22 | 653 | 712 | 22 | 23 | 249 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 734 | 0 | - | 0 | 1420 | 723 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 723 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 697 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.18 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.272 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 844 | - | - | - | 152 | 430 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 484 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 498 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 844 | - | - | - | 148 | 430 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 284 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 471 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 | 0 | 29.1 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 844 | - | - | - | 412 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.026 | - | - | -0.659 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.4 | - | - | - | 29.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | D |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | - | 4.6 |

Gibson Traffic Consultants, Inc. [\#19-229, ZJW]
2025 Future with Development - AM Peak - 100\% Analysis.syn

## Collision Data




## Planning Documents

Six Year Transportation Improvement Program
From 2020 to 2025

Agency: Sedro Woolle
County: Skagit
MPO/RTPO: SCOG

|  |  | A. PIN/Project No. <br> C. Project Title <br> D. Road Name or Number <br> E. Begin \& End Termini <br> F. Project Description | B. STIP ID <br> G. Structure ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{J} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-1}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 10 | SR9N/Township St \& John Liner/McGarigle Intersection Improvements SR 9 <br> MP 57.38 to MP 57.48 <br> Intersection Improvements, including signalization or Single Lane Roundabout. | SW41 | 06/26/19 | 07/10/19 |  | 1030-19 | 03 |  | 0.100 | CE | Yes |




Transportation Impact Fee Project List

| ID | Project Name | Project Limits | Description | Total Est. Cost (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14 | Jameson Arterial Extension | SR 9 / Batey Rd | New arterial segment | 3,020,000 |
| S14A | SR20/Cascade Trail West Extension Ph.1A | Trail Rd / SR 9 South | Shared use path | 575,000 |
| S14B | SR20/Cascade Trail West Extension Ph.1B | Hodgin Rd / Trail Rd | Shared use path | 288,000 |
| C22 | Fruitdale Rd Arterial Improvements | Portobello / North City Limit | Reconstruct to arterial standards incl. roundabout at Northern State Rd | 2,320,000 |
| C1B | Jones/John Liner RR Undecrossing | Sapp Rd / Reed St | New BNSF undercrossing and new arterial from E Jones Rd to John Liner Rd | 7,700,000 |
| ClC | John Liner Bike/Ped Impr | Redd St / SR 9 | Complete Streets completion | 555,000 |
| C19 | Patrick St Extension | Michael St/E Jones St | New major collector w/sidewalks | 2,100,000 |
| ClA | Jones Rd Improvements | F\&S Grade Rd / Sapp Rd | Reconstruct to arterial section including sidewalk \& shared use path | 3,200,000 |
| S16 | SR20 \& SR9 (Township) Intersection Impr. |  | Channelization and signal improvements | 1,000,000 |
| C18 | Portobello Arterial Extension | Township / Cascadia | New major collector connecting Fruitdale w/ SR 9 | 1,700,000 |
| S2 | SR20 \& Reed St Intersection Impr. |  | RIRO access restriction | 50,000 |
| S18 | SR 9 / W State St Intersection Impr |  | Intersection improvements | 250,000 |
| C3 | Cook Rd / Trail Rd Intersection Improvements |  | Intersection improvements | 1,000,000 |
| C9A | Trail Rd Arterial Extension | Cook Rd/F\&S Grade | Construct new minor arterial | 4,000,000 |
| C9B | Trail Rd - Garden of Eden Rd Extension | F\&S Grade / Jones Rd | Construct new minor arterial | 850,000 |
| S13C | SR9N Ped/Bike Safety Improvements | Park Cottage / N City Limits | Bike lane \& sidewalk improvements | 434,000 |
| S17 | Township St (SR 9) \& John Liner/McGarigle Rd Intersection Improvements |  | Intersection improvements | 1,000,000 |
| C1D | John Liner Rd Arterial Improvements | Reed St / Township St | Reconstruct to arterial section | 1,600,000 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { S6 } \\ \text { A-B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | SR 20 East Lane Widening \& Safety Improvements | SR 9 / Fruitdale Rd | Improve and widen to 3 lanes | 960,000 |
| C7A | Jameson St Arterial Improvements | 600' e/o Batey to Railroad St | Widen to arterial standards w/3 lanes, bike lane, sidewalk | 3,600,000 |
| C7B | Jameson / $11^{\text {th }}$ St Intersection Improvements |  | Change access to RIRO | 70,000 |
| C7C | Railroad St / Jameson Intersection Improvements |  | Intersection improvements to include new roundabout | 750,000 |
| C7D | Railroad St Arterial <br> Improvements | Jameson St / Fruitdale | Reconstruct to arterial standards incl. 3 lanes, bike lanes, sidewalks | 2,880,000 |
| C2 | F\&S Grade Rd Arterial Improvements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR20 MP } 65.16 \text { / } \\ & \text { Jones Rd } \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruct to arterial standards | 2,960,000 |
| S14C | SR20/Cascade Trail West Extension Ph.2A | Holtcamp Rd/Hodgin Rd | Shared use path | 600,000 |
| S20 | SR 20 / Central Ave Intersection Improvements |  | Intersection improvements or RIRO | 150,000 |
| S14D | SR20/Cascade Trail West Extension Ph.2B | Collins Rd/Holtcamp Rd | Shared use path | 620,000 |


| ID | Project Name | Project Limits | Description | Total Est. <br> Cost (\$) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| C13 | Rhodes Rd Arterial Impr | SR 9 / SR 20 | Reconstruct to arterial standards incl. <br> bike lanes, sidewalks | $3,200,000$ |
| C15 | Hodgin Rd Arterial Ext. | SR 20 / Cook | New collector arterial | $2,225,000$ |
| S9 | SR9/N Township St Arterial <br> Improvements | SR 20 / City limits | Planning phase - reconstruct to <br> arterial standards incl. 3 lanes, bike <br> lanes, sidewalk | 100,000 |
| S13D | SR9 / Centennial Trail Ped/Bike <br> Safety Improvements | Summer Meadows Pl / <br> North City Limits | Construct bicycle lane and sidewalk <br> improvements incl. ped crossing <br> bridge at Brickyard Crk | $1,700,000$ |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ TWSC = minor approach stop control; AWSC = all-way stop control; Signal = signalized; RAB = roundabout
    ${ }^{2}$ For TWSC intersections, delay is reported for the worst (i.e. highest-delay) movement; for all other control types, average intersection delay is reported.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ TWSC = minor approach stop control; AWSC = all-way stop control; Signal = signalized; RAB = roundabout
    ${ }^{2}$ For TWSC intersections, delay is reported for the worst (i.e. highest-delay) movement; for all other control types, average intersection delay is reported.

[^2]:    1:45 PM - 4:00 PM PEAK HOUR: 2:45 PM $\quad$ TO $3: 45$ PM

